

Dark-Binding Process Relevant to Preventing Photosensitized Oxidation: Conformation-Dependent Light and Dark Mechanisms by a Dual-Functioning Diketone


Sarah J. Belh,^{†,‡} Niluksha Walalawela,^{†,‡} Stas Lekhtman,[†] and Alexander Greer^{*,†,‡,§}

[†]Department of Chemistry, Brooklyn College, 2900 Bedford Avenue, Brooklyn, New York 11210, United States

[‡]Ph.D. Program in Chemistry, The Graduate Center of the City University of New York, 365 Fifth Avenue, New York, New York 10016, United States

Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: Few photosensitizers function in both light and dark processes as they usually have no function when the lights are turned off. We hypothesized that light and dark mechanisms in an α -diketone will be decoupled by dihedral rotation in a conformation-dependent binding process. Successful decoupling of these two functions is now shown. Namely, *anti*- and *syn*-skewed conformations of 4,4'-dimethylbenzil promote photosensitized alkoxy radical production, whereas the *syn* conformation promotes a binding shutoff reaction with trimethyl phosphite. Less rotation of the diketone is better suited to the photosensitizing function since phosphite binding arises through the *syn* conformer of lower stability. The dual function seen here with the α -diketone is generally not available to sensitizers of limited conformational flexibility, such as porphyrins, phthalocyanines, and fullerenes.



INTRODUCTION

Dual-functioning compounds, such as those which perform as both imaging agents as well as photosensitizers, are an increasing class of compounds.^{1–3} Dual functioning has been approached from many different angles.^{4,5} In one case, singlet oxygen (¹O₂) was used to photodegrade micelles for the delivery of the doxorubicin for combined ¹O₂ and drug activity (Figure 1).⁶ In this case,⁶ the photochemical and dark functions relate to a drug release process.




Figure 1. Micelle degradation by photogenerated singlet oxygen for the release of doxorubicin.

However, there is a way to go before photosensitizers can also serve simultaneously as chemotherapeutic drugs. Here, we describe an α -diketone sensitization that responds as a conformational switch to binding, which may bring the goal a step closer. Conformationally dependent binding of drugs that shut off the photosensitization pathway are generally not exploited in photodynamic therapy (PDT). The reason is that conformational twisting is almost never available to sensitizers as they are often cyclic structures. In the case of porphyrins and phthalocyanines, a flat shape is largely retained upon excitation. Sensitizers that can adopt flat and also twisted

conformations are in need of greater study in the context of dual function. Tools from the perspective of conformational binding can then influence the outcome of the photooxidation. The two functions (i.e., light and dark) can come together to influence each other in competitive reactions.

The potential dual-functioning compounds are α -diketones (diones), which are now explored for chemical binding versus photosensitization processes. We hypothesized that a dione will act as a sensitizer as the *anti*-isomer and act as a chemical binder as the *syn*-isomer, which amounts to a “give and take” (Figure 2). That compounds may be decoupled from sensitization through drug binding would be of fundamental interest.

Dione compounds have been shown to act well as binding agents, including in enzyme active sites.⁷ For example, benzils bind to tubulin proteins in a similar manner as stilbenes, such as combretastatin A-4.⁸ Furthermore, diones have been shown to be important in chelation reactions with phosphites^{9,10} and have been used in the synthesis of phosphoranes.^{11,12} Diones such as 4,4'-dimethylbenzil **1** and monocarbonyl compounds have been used as photosensitizers in photooxidation reactions^{13–15} but not in the terms of dione conformational binding and photosensitization as we describe here. Indeed, the dual functionality of diones for photosensitization and subsequent binding has not been previously explored.

We report on a conformational switch between dione-sensitized peroxide decomposition and dione binding to

Received: October 18, 2019

Accepted: December 4, 2019

Published: December 19, 2019




Figure 2. Conformational switch of dione for photosensitized oxidation activity and binding activity. The dione acts as a photosensitizer (path A) and binds to a phosphite shutting off the sensitization (path B). It will be shown how paths A and B are competitive. Dione concentrations and the proticity of the surrounding environment is tested. Here, “X” represents a chelating agent such as protein binding site or a phosphite molecule as is examined in the current work.

phosphite. Tuning the dione conformation is desired not only to thermally chelate but control peroxide sensitization to alkoxy radicals in which light path A and dark path B are competitive (Figure 3). The dione sensitization is permanently shut off by a conformational switch in phosphite binding. We now demonstrate that *anti*- and *syn*-skewed conformations of **1** promote sensitization, whereas the *syn* conformation promotes phosphite binding. The following presentation only considers 4,4'-dimethylbenzil **1** and glyoxal (oxalaldehyde) **2**; the former is in the experimental work, and the latter in the theoretical work. Dicumyl peroxide [(peroxybis(propane-2,2-diyl))-dibenzene] **3** was the peroxide used in these experiments. The mechanism in Figure 3 is consistent with the data collected, as we will see next.

RESULTS

The results are presented in the following four subsections: (1) the computed binding process between dione **2** and $(\text{MeO})_3\text{P}$; (2) analysis of the kinetics of the binding; (3) sorting out the contributions from light and dark reactions; and (4) the product distribution upon photosensitized homolysis dicumyl peroxide **3**.

Dihedral Rotation Dependence of Bidentate Binding.

Some details of the dione rotation and phosphite binding can be obtained from density functional theory (DFT) calculations. Dione **2** was used as a model system to mimic the 1,2-dione portion of 4,4'-dimethylbenzil **1** due to lower computational cost of the former. Dione **2** serves as a model for the DFT calculations but is not a good sensitizer. Figure 4 shows the B3LYP/D95(d,p) energies for the rotation of dione **2** around the dihedral angle (θ for $\text{O}=\text{C}-\text{C}=\text{O}$), which was constrained to 10° increments. The *anti* rotamer is the global minimum, and the *syn* rotamer is 5.6 kcal/mol less stable. The *syn* rotamer is reached by a *syn*-skewed transition structure with a barrier height of 7.5 kcal/mol. For the larger dione (dimethylbenzil, **1**), a pure *syn* geometry of **1** cannot be transposed on its core, and therefore, a *syn*-skewed minimum is favored due to a buttressing of the nearby aryl groups, which destabilize the *syn* geometry. It appears that the *ortho*-aryl hydrogens disable dione **1** from adopting a pure *syn* geometry. Nonetheless, **2** served as a good model for the 1,2-dione segment of **1**. Relatedly, Allonas et al.¹⁶ describe the importance of diones in a photochemical reaction. In their reaction, diones restricted to the *syn* conformation bore lower E_T values by a couple of kcal/mol compared to diones equilibrating both *syn* and *anti* conformations. In our case, a computed rotational profile is featured for a *syn*- and *anti*-dione where both are stable; however, the less stable *syn*-dione reacts with $(\text{MeO})_3\text{P}$ through an equilibrium process.




Figure 3. Proposed mechanism that blends the dark (thermal) and light (Jablonski-like) processes. The 1,2-dione sensitizer is competitive in photodecomposition of dicumyl peroxide **3** (path A) and binding of *syn*-dione with $(\text{MeO})_3\text{P}$ (path B). The energetics for the Jablonski diagram (upper portion) were estimated from literature data. Aprotic and protic media influence the reaction of the alkoxy radical. The alkoxy radical also competes with the 1,2-dione for the $(\text{MeO})_3\text{P}$ trapping agent. Phosphorane **4** ($\text{R} = p\text{-CH}_3\text{C}_6\text{H}_4$); phosphorane **5** ($\text{R} = \text{H}$). “Y” is the $\text{C}_6\text{H}_5\text{C}(\text{Me}_2)$ group on dicumyl peroxide **3**.




Figure 4. DFT computed energy plot for the 360° rotation of the 1,2-dione group in **2** and potential energy surface for the reaction of **2** with $(\text{MeO})_3\text{P}$. θ is the dihedral angle for $\text{O}=\text{C}-\text{C}=\text{O}$ of the dione. Oxygen atoms are red, carbon atoms are gray, and hydrogen atoms are white.

Next, we computed the cyclization path of dione **2** with $(\text{MeO})_3\text{P}$. This binding reaction arises from the *syn*-dione. The transition structure for the cyclization is shown in **Figure 4**, where the resultant dihedral angle (θ is $\text{O}=\text{C}-\text{C}=\text{O}$) approximately planar for TS2 is 0.9° . The phosphorane **5** possesses a trigonal bipyramidal geometry, where the apical P–O bond distance (2.37 Å) is longer than the equatorial P–O bond distance (2.18 Å). The activation energy for the association of dione **2** with $(\text{MeO})_3\text{P}$ is predicted to be $\Delta E^\ddagger = 15.3$ kcal/mol. Only a transition structure for a concerted process from the *syn*-dione was found. Transition structures for a step-wise addition could not be located. We also did not find an energy minimum for a monodentate binding between dione **2** and $(\text{MeO})_3\text{P}$. Formation of phosphorane **5** is exothermic by 9.1 kcal/mol compared to reagents **1** and **2**. The decomposition of phosphorane is a high-barrier process so that it irreversibly “masks” dione **2**, where the energy barrier for the release of phosphite from the phosphorane is 30.0 kcal/mol. An assessment of molecular orbitals (MOs) was also informative to understanding the free form of the dione and the phosphite binding process.

DFT computations show that the phosphite HOMO is of a σ character and can donate to the *syn*-dione **2** LUMO in a symmetry-allowed process (**Figure 5**). The HOMO of dione **2** contains π^* orbitals on the $\text{C}=\text{O}$ bonds, and π bonds are formed in the P–O groups of the phosphorane **5**. The chelation of $(\text{MeO})_3\text{P}$ to the dione likely alters the electronic transition of the dicarbonyl group. The experimental results show that α -diketones bear a strong n, π^* character,¹⁶ which is




Figure 5. DFT-computed HOMO and LUMO of *syn*-dione **2**, $(\text{MeO})_3\text{P}$, and phosphorane **5**. Oxygen atoms are red, carbon atoms are gray, and hydrogen atoms are white.

reduced in the phosphorane **5**. Next, we present experimental evidence for a cyclization of dione **1** with $(\text{MeO})_3\text{P}$ to reach phosphorane **4**.

Kinetics of Dione Binding to Phosphite. We sought experimental evidence for the formation of phosphorane **4** since dione **1** is a sensitizer. **Figure 6** shows the reaction of dione **1** with $(\text{MeO})_3\text{P}$ over time. **Figure S8** (Supporting Information) shows that a near-linear pseudo-first order fits for their disappearance. Due to the sparing stability of





Figure 6. Plot of the disappearance of 4,4'-dimethylbenzil **1** (solid circle) and $(\text{MeO})_3\text{P}$ (box solid) and appearance of phosphorane **4** (triangle up solid) over time in CH_3CN .

phosphorane **4**, kinetics for its disappearance do not fit first order kinetics. Phosphorane **4** is an intermediate and exists for ~ 10 min as a mixture in the reaction. Notice that the absorptivity of dione **1** decreases when chelated to $(\text{MeO})_3\text{P}$ upon formation of the phosphorane **4** (Figure S7). A weak phosphorane absorption is attributed to a π, π^* transition that appears at a shorter wavelength ($\lambda_{\text{max}} = 235$ nm) in comparison to dione **1** ($\lambda_{\text{max}} = 270$ nm), although the phosphorane still bears an n, π^* transition. The transient phosphorane **4** was detected by ^1H NMR (doublet "b" is located at 3.66 and 3.69 ppm) (Figure S2), where its location is similar to POCH_3 peaks in phosphorane compounds previously reported.¹¹ The ^{31}P NMR data also show evidence for the phosphorane **4** (-49.6 ppm) (Figure S4) in which the phosphorus atom is covalently bound to five oxygen atoms. Similar negative chemical shifts have been observed for other phosphoranes.¹²

The appearance of trimethylphosphate [$(\text{MeO})_3\text{P}=\text{O}$] is a consequence of the phosphorane **4** decomposition via aryl migration, a side reaction leading to ketene. Based on the literature of ketenes,¹¹ cleavage of phosphorane **4** is expected to lead to $(p\text{-MeC}_6\text{H}_4)_2\text{C}=\text{C}=\text{O}$, $(\text{MeO})_3\text{P}=\text{O}$, and by-products, although we did not analyze these downstream reactions. Next, we sought to examine the competitive binding of dione **1** with $(\text{MeO})_3\text{P}$ (dark path B) and the photo-oxidation activity in cumyloxy radicals generated in dicumyl peroxide **3** photodecomposition (light path A).

Separating the Light and Dark Paths. In addition to phosphite chelation to dione (path B), we show that phosphite can be used to indirectly monitor the photodecomposition of dicumyl peroxide by trapping the resultant cumyloxy radicals (light path A) (Table 1). The use of phosphite as alkoxy radical traps is reported in the literature.^{17,18} Table 1 shows that the dione **1** sensitized dicumyl peroxide **3** decomposition as a function of the sensitizer to peroxide ratios. The ratios correspond to concentrations of 100 mM **1** and 0.5 to 100 mM **3**. Data are shown for $(\text{MeO})_3\text{P}=\text{O}$ formed from light path A, dark path B, and combined paths A + B. Samples were irradiated in N_2 -sparged solutions with $300 < \lambda < 700$ nm light from 400 W metal halide lamps with a fluence of 21.8 mW/cm². Table 1 (column 5) shows the data for tracking the loss of dicumyl peroxide **3** in the photoreaction. The sensitizer/peroxide ratio of 1:1 showed a 9% increase in peroxide homolysis compared to the 1:10 ratio (entries 1 and 2). Thus, the photosensitized decomposition of **3** was about 3 times more rapid in the 1:1 ratio sensitizer/peroxide compared to the 1:10 ratio. As we see, the sensitized decomposition of **3** was shown to require a high sensitizer to peroxide ratio. Ratios of 1:40 or lower (entries 3–5) show no detectable sensitized decomposition of dicumyl peroxide **3**. The amount of phosphate formed under light (column 5) and dark (column 6) reactions can be readily determined. In the dark, the

Table 1. Monitoring Dicumyl Peroxide Photodecomposition as a Function of Sensitizer to Peroxide Ratio^{a-c}



entry	dicumyl peroxide concentration (mM)	sensitizer 1 concentration (mM)	sensitizer 1 to peroxide ratio	loss of 3 from light path A ^d (mM)	phosphate formed from dark path B ^d (mM)	phosphate formed from paths A + B ^d (mM)
1	100	100	1:1	14	37	51
2	100	10	1:10	5	15	20
3	100	2.5	1:40	0	12	12
4	100	1	1:100	0	14	14
5	100	0.5	1:200	0	15	15
6	100	0	0:1	0	0	0
7 ^e	0	0			0	0

^aTrimethylphosphite concentration was 100 mM. ^bAmount of phosphite and phosphate monitored by ^1H NMR (corresponding methyl peaks). ^cAmount of dicumyl peroxide **3** and products (cumyl alcohol and acetophenone) monitored according to high-performance liquid chromatography. ^dStandard deviation is $\pm 1\%$. ^eSample was kept in the dark.





Figure 7. Unrestricted B3LYP/D95(d,p) calculations for the O–O bond dissociation of dicumyl peroxide **3** on the (A) singlet surface and (B) triplet surface. The structures were optimized with the O–O bond being constrained by increases in 0.05 Å increments. Relative energies in kcal/mol.

reaction of dione **1** and $(\text{MeO})_3\text{P}$ led to phosphorane **4** and by-products based on UV–vis and NMR data. After 41 min, the reaction mixture contained dione **1** and phosphorane **4** in a ratio of $\sim 1:1$ (Figure S7, Supporting Information). Entry 7 is a control experiment showing that no detectable amount of phosphate is formed over a 1 h period in the dark and in the absence of dicumyl peroxide and dione **1**.

Path B clearly is significant since path A values are lower by comparison. In the absence of sensitizer, direct irradiation ($300 < \lambda < 700 \text{ nm}$) leads to no detectable photodecomposition of dicumyl peroxide **3** (entry 6). Indeed, the poor excitation wavelength overlap of the dicumyl peroxide **3** with the light

source provides an explanation for dicumyl peroxide's stability without a sensitizer. While dicumyl peroxide **3** is photochemically unstable with UVC light (data not shown), our light source is mainly UVA and visible light with some UVB, and thus, we demonstrate that dione sensitizer **1** leads to the photolability of dicumyl peroxide **3**. The extent of peroxide decomposition due to direct irradiation ($280 < \lambda < 700 \text{ nm}$) is negligible for dicumyl peroxide **3** ($\sim 0\%$) under our conditions. Thus, it follows that the fragility of peroxide O–O bonds needs to be negligible for quantitation of any sensitized contribution to the decomposition. Next, we carried out DFT

Table 2. Effect of Aprotic and Protic Media in Products Formed from the 4,4'-Dimethylbenzil **1** Sensitized Photodecomposition of Dicumyl Peroxide **3**



entry	reaction medium	condition	% yield of acetophenone 6 ^a	% yield of cumyl alcohol 7 ^a	% yield of α -methylstyrene 8 ^a	ref
1	wet acetonitrile- <i>d</i> ₃ solution ^{b,d}	dione 1 photosensitized	2	98	<0.01	this work
2	methanol solution	plasmon excitation	50	50		19
3	dry acetonitrile- <i>d</i> ₃ solution ^{b,c}	dione 1 photosensitized	99	<1	<0.01	this work
4	gas/solid interface ^{b,e}	dione 1 photosensitized	70	4	1	17
5	gas/solid interface ^{b,f,g}	254 nm light	67	5	2	this work
6	acetonitrile solution	plasmon excitation	98	2		19

^aSensitized homolysis of dicumyl peroxide **3** leads to cumyloxy radical, which adds to (MeO)₃P, and subsequently cleaves a methyl radical in reaching (MeO)₃P=O based on the following proposed reaction: dione **1** + Ph(Me₂)COO(Me₂)Ph **3** + $h\nu$ → 2Ph(Me₂)CO·; Ph(Me₂)COO(Me₂)Ph ← [2Ph(Me₂)CO·] + (MeO)₃P → (MeO)₃P=O; [2Ph(Me₂)CO·] → MeCOPh + Me·; [2Ph(Me₂)CO·] + H-atom source → ROH. ^bAn average of three runs with a standard deviation of ± 3 . ^cIrradiation at $300 < \lambda < 700$ nm. ^dAcetonitrile containing <1% H₂O. ^eIrradiation at $280 < \lambda < 700$ nm. ^fSolid phase is fumed silica. ^gIrradiation at 254 nm.

studies to probe the dicumyl peroxide O–O bond dissociation further.

Since the dicumyl peroxide **3** is not excited, we conducted ground-state DFT calculations rather than time-dependent density functional theory (TD-DFT) calculations to predict the energetics for O–O bond dissociation on the singlet and triplet potential energy surfaces (PESs). The O–O bond was elongated and optimized at each distance with the resulting potential energy curves shown in Figure 7. It is readily seen that on the singlet surface, O–O bond separation is endothermic with a barrier to O–O bond homolysis of ~ 60 kcal/mol. In contrast on the triplet surface, the O–O bond separation is exothermic and the forming cumyloxy radical pairs are strongly repulsive. The singlet surface in Figure 7A shows that the separated cumyloxy radicals are found oriented in an *anti* conformation. On the singlet surface, the homolysis would be high in energy, unveiling alkoxy radicals. On the other hand, the triplet surface in Figure 7B shows that the separated cumyloxy radicals remain in a *cis*-skewed conformation even up to a separation distance of 3.5 Å. Based on these DFT results (Figure 7) and keeping in mind that the O–O bond dissociation energy (BDE) of dicumyl peroxide **3** is 36 kcal/mol,^{19,20} we surmise that the photosensitized O–O bond homolysis occurs on the triplet manifold via energy transfer to a repulsive orbital of the O–O bond. For releasing the cumyloxy radicals beyond their contact pair as free species, a small amount of heat would then be required.

Trapping of Photogenerated Cumyloxy Radical. As dicumyl peroxide **3** can be photosensitized to homolytically cleave, we turned to an evaluation of the effect of reaction medium on the product distribution to seek further evidence of the existence of cumyloxy radical. Table 2 shows data that determine the effect of the surrounding media on the products formed in the 4,4'-dimethylbenzil **1** sensitized decomposition of dicumyl peroxide **3**. Here, acetophenone (**6**), 2-phenylpropan-2-ol (**7**), and α -methylstyrene (**8**) were detected as products. These products have higher triple state energies (E_T) than 4,4'-dimethylbenzil **1** and are not expected to serve as

sensitizers; however, their distribution depended on the presence or absence of a H-atom source.

In wet acetonitrile-*d*₃, irradiating with light from $300 < \lambda < 700$ nm, the products formed were acetophenone **6** (2%) and 2-phenylpropan-2-ol (**7**) (98%) (Table 2, entry 1). Somewhat similarly, according to the literature, a plasmon excitation reaction in methanol showed dicumyl peroxide **3** decomposition to **6** (50%) and **7** (50%) (entry 2).¹⁹ In contrast, in dry acetonitrile-*d*₃, products were favored in the opposite direction with the near exclusive formation of acetophenone **6** (99%), with 2-phenylpropan-2-ol (**7**) (<1%) and a trace amount of α -methylstyrene **8** (entry 3). Solution-free conditions on silica with unfiltered UV light ($280 < \lambda < 700$ nm) or with direct irradiation of **3** with 254 nm light in a container rotated by a stirring paddle to tumble the particles were used. The solution-free conditions led to a slightly reduced yield of acetophenone **6** (67–70%), with 2-phenylpropan-2-ol **7** (4–5%) and α -methylstyrene **8** (1–2%) (entries 4 and 5). For the solution-free reactions on silica, the mass balance of the reaction was $\sim 75\%$ due to evolution of volatile species, such as CH₃· and CH₄. According to the literature, the plasmon-mediated reaction in acetonitrile also showed the main product to be **6** (98%) with a minor amount of **7** (2%) (entry 6).¹⁹

We attribute these results to cumyloxy radicals formed in the sensitized homolysis of dicumyl peroxide **3**, which abstracts H-atoms from water (in wet acetonitrile) or silanol (SiOH) groups on the silica surface. The cumyloxy radical may also be reacting with water adsorbed to the silica surface, leading to increased percent yields of **7**. The heterogeneous experiment was carried out on silica with high relative amounts of SiOH groups for H-atom abstraction. Our results are consistent with literature reports of H-atom abstraction by cumyloxy radicals in polymer cross-linking and other reactions.^{21,22}

Discussion. We show that an equilibrium process of the less stable *syn* conformer of a dione binds to (MeO)₃P irreversibly. The facility of this increases with the *syn*-dione conformation. Since diones have been used as protein binding drugs,^{7,8} there is a potential for their use in rotation tuning for

binding from the *syn* form to sensitization from the *anti* form. That is, the function of the dione would be more photo-destructive in the *anti* form but more effective in protein binding, for example, in the *syn* form. The competition for chemical selectivity (modulation response) emerges through an equilibrium process involving the less stable *syn* conformer. The transition state and formation of phosphorane arise from the *syn*-dione according to the DFT calculations.

The photosensitized decomposition of dicumyl peroxide **3** requires relatively high concentrations of dione **1** and is proposed to take place on the triplet manifold. Cumyloxy radicals are formed and scavenge H-atoms from surface silanols, water adhered to silica, methanol, and water producing cumyl alcohol as the major product. In the peroxide-sensitized homolysis, H-atom abstraction of protic media by the cumyloxy radical is an important process that competes with methyl radical loss and formation of acetophenone as a major product in the dicumyl peroxide photodecomposition reaction. Dione **1** is not likely to photoreduce in the presence of water but would be susceptible to photoreduction with H-atom donors such as triethylamine or a phenol substituted with an electron-donating substituent.¹⁶ In terms of product formation, produced acetophenone ($E_T = 74$ kcal/mol) may also serve as a photosensitizer, although 4,4'-dimethylbenzil **1** has a fairly low-lying triple state ($E_T = \sim 51$ kcal/mol) is expected to be the main photosensitizer over the course of the peroxide **3** homolysis reaction. Also, for comparison, O₂ degassed conditions were used; otherwise, a competing process would include dione sensitization to O₂ and formation of singlet oxygen. Our work is also part of a growing body of work,^{17,27–33} examining sensitization reactions by peroxide O–O bond homolysis, where the reaction is also relevant to the more commonly studied sensitization of ³O₂ to ¹O₂.

CONCLUSIONS

We present a new concept for dark conformational dependence in connection with attenuating a photooxidation reaction. Namely, the ability of an α -diketone (4,4'-dimethylbenzil) to act as a photosensitizer for alkoxy radical production and to bind to a trialkyl phosphite was studied in homogenous and heterogeneous systems. Upon binding to phosphite, the 4,4'-dimethylbenzil decreased in its alkoxy radical photoproduction, acting as a shutoff mechanism. This opens the avenue of α -diketones for prospective dual action in sensitization and in drug binding activity.

Diones such as **1** can serve as photosensitizers but also have dark binding opportunities. The light and dark paths are competitive paths due to the rotational dependence about the two carbonyl groups, with the *syn* enhancing dark binding and the *anti*- or *syn*-skewed increasing the light-dependent route. The system is a step toward the dual-action goal in which the design can be tailored to a selected binding site to enhance the cooperative dialog between phototherapy and drug binding. Such a collective mode of reaction is generally not available to sensitizer macrocycles with restricted conformational freedom.

Porphyrins have been studied and act well as ¹O₂ sensitizers but usually bind to membranes rather than within enzymatic pockets.²³ Porphyrin distortion away from planarity can lead to a shutoff of their sensitizer activity;^{24–26} however, porphyrins' large size mostly disallow competing processes, such as binding in a protein pocket. Here, we report on a dione that can bind a phosphite, an observation similar to the dione binding at metal

active sites in enzymes (e.g., tropolones and hydroxytropolones), where the relative size of dione **1** and derivatives can facilitate binding. The effectiveness of the dione as a photosensitizer is suggested but only prior to binding, which suggests a potential advantage to dione-sensitized reactions in PDT applications.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

Computations. Calculations were carried out using Gaussian 09 (revision D.01)³⁶ with the B3LYP functional and the D95(d,p) basis set in the gas phase. Molecules were visualized with GaussView 5.0.³⁷ The transition state structure **TS2** was verified as transition states by frequency calculations. Calculations were also carried out by scanning of bond rotations for dione **2** and the O–O bond dissociations of dicumyl peroxide **3** in the singlet and triplet surfaces by constraining compound geometries.

General. Reagents used including 4,4'-dimethylbenzil **1**, dicumyl peroxide **3**, trimethylphosphite [(MeO)₃P], trimethylphosphate [(MeO)₃P=O], and triisopropyl phosphate [(*n*-C₃H₇O)₃P=O] were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich and used as received. Potassium hydrogen phthalate from Fisher Scientific was used as received. Acetonitrile, acetonitrile-*d*₃, dichloromethane, and methanol were purchased from VWR and used as received. The hydrophilic fumed silica particles used were 200–300 nm in diameter, with 200 ± 25 m²/g surface area.

Photolysis Method. Figure S1 shows a schematic of irradiation that was conducted with samples placed in a 1 cm filter solution of 0.5% w/v potassium hydrogen phthalate in water to collect light from 300 < λ < 700 nm at the midpoint between two 400 W metal halide lamps, which delivered light (280 < λ < 700 nm). A handheld UV 254 nm light source was also used. Rises in temperature of ~ 2 –3 °C were observed for the sample solution under irradiation after 1 h. We have previously measured the fluency rate at a midpoint in between the bulbs to be 21.8 ± 2.4 mW/cm².³⁴ The tail of the absorption of dione **1** (280–310 nm) overlaps with the output of the metal halide light, but this overlap is poor and nearly nonexistent with dicumyl peroxide **3**, phosphorane **4**, (MeO)₃P, and (MeO)₃P=O. The compound, (*n*-C₃H₇O)₃P=O, was used as an internal standard. Photolyses of dione **1** and dicumyl peroxide **3** were done in N₂-sparged solutions and heterogeneous samples, as described next.

Homogeneous Method. Typically, acetonitrile solutions were used containing dicumyl peroxide **1** (0.1 M) in the presence or absence of sensitizer 4,4'-dimethylbenzil **1** (0.01 M) and (MeO)₃P (0.1 M). The solutions were sparged with N₂ for 15 min prior to irradiation where the headspace was filled with N₂. Oxygen-free conditions were needed; otherwise, the sensitizer **1** produces singlet oxygen under aerobic conditions.¹⁵ Phosphorous trapping agents such as trimethyl phosphite have been reported to trap the alkoxy radicals³⁵ and thus were used here. The product (MeO)₃P=O was monitored by GC/MS and ¹H and ³¹P NMR spectroscopies. The peroxide decomposition yields did not vary by more than 1% between the two methods: GC/MS and ¹H NMR spectroscopy. The use of ³¹P NMR spectroscopy produced higher error (~ 2 –3%). GC/MS and ¹H NMR spectroscopy were also used to characterize the hydrocarbon products.

Heterogeneous Method. The preparation of 4,4'-dimethylbenzil **1** and dicumyl peroxide **3** coadsorbed onto fumed silica has been described previously.¹⁷ Briefly, fumed

silica was immersed in a dichloromethane solution of solvated **1** and **3**. After stirring, the dichloromethane was evaporated with a stream of N₂ gas, leaving reagents adsorbed on the silica. The silica particles were further dried under vacuum. Compound adsorption was assumed to be uniform. We used a 20 cm³ glass container containing 100 mg of silica particles adsorbed with **1** and **3** that form a two-phase system that was N₂-degassed. This container was rotated by its attachment to a stirring paddle where the silica particles tumbled during irradiation for 1 h. Once the photolysis was completed after 1 h, products **6–8** were detected upon desorption from the silica surface with dichloromethane or methanol and filtered with a syringe-loaded filter. Here, we monitored the consumption of dicumyl peroxide **3** over time and quantitated the products formed based on GC/MS and NMR. The possible formation of volatile products was not explored.

■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge at <https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acsomega.9b03488>.

Figure S1, schematic of the photoreactor setup; Figure S2, ¹H NMR spectra of (MeO)₃P and phosphorane **4** in CD₃CN; Figure S3, ¹H NMR spectra of 4,4'-dimethylbenzil **1** and phosphorane **4** in CD₃CN; Figure S4, ³¹P NMR spectra of (MeO)₃P, (MeO)₃P=O, and phosphorane **4** in CD₃CN; Figure S5, absorption spectra of reagents, products, and filter solution in CH₃CN; Figure S6, absorption and fluorescence spectra of 4,4'-dimethylbenzil **1** in CH₃CN; Figure S7, absorption spectra of the reaction mixture of **1** with (MeO)₃P in CH₃CN; Figure S8, kinetic plot and R² fitting of the kinetic data (PDF)

■ AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*E-mail: agreer@brooklyn.cuny.edu.

ORCID

Alexander Greer: 0000-0003-4444-9099

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

■ ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank the National Science Foundation (CHE-1856765) and PSC-CUNY for funding. We also thank Leda Lee for assistance with the graphic arts and for the comments of an anonymous reviewer.

■ REFERENCES

- (1) Celli, J. P.; Spring, B. Q.; Rizvi, I.; Evans, C. L.; Samkoe, K. S.; Verma, S.; Pogue, B. W.; Hasan, T. Imaging and Photodynamic Therapy: Mechanisms, Monitoring, and Optimization. *Chem. Rev.* **2010**, *110*, 2795–2838.
- (2) Rai, P.; Mallidi, S.; Zheng, X.; Rahmzadeh, R.; Mir, Y.; Elrington, S.; Khurshid, A.; Hasan, T. Development and Applications of Photo-triggered Theranostic Agents. *Adv. Drug Delivery Rev.* **2010**, *62*, 1094–1124.
- (3) Amirshaghghi, A.; Yan, L.; Miller, J.; Daniel, Y.; Stein, J. M.; Busch, T. M.; Cheng, Z.; Tsourkas, A. Chlorin e₆-Coated Superparamagnetic Iron Oxide Nanoparticle (SPION) Nanoclusters as a Theranostic Agent for Dual-Mode Imaging and Photodynamic Therapy. *Sci. Rep.* **2019**, *9*, 2613.

- (4) Sainuddin, T.; Pinto, M.; Yin, H.; Hetu, M.; Colpitts, J.; McFarland, S. A. Strained Ruthenium Metal–Organic Dyads as Photocisplatin Agents with Dual Action. *J. Inorg. Biochem.* **2016**, *158*, 45–54.

- (5) Albani, B. A.; Peña, B.; Leed, N. A.; de Paula, N. A. B. G.; Pavani, C.; Baptista, M. S.; Dunbar, K. R.; Turro, C. Marked Improvement in Photoinduced Cell Death by a New Tris-heteroleptic Complex with Dual Action: Singlet Oxygen Sensitization and Ligand Dissociation. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2014**, *136*, 17095–17101.

- (6) Brega, V.; Scaletti, F.; Zhang, X.; Wang, L.; Li, P.; Xu, Q.; Rotello, V. M.; Thomas, S. W., III Polymer Amphiphiles for Photoregulated Anticancer Drug Delivery. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* **2018**, *11*, 2814–2820.

- (7) Hirsch, D. R.; Schiavone, D. V.; Berkowitz, A. J.; Morrison, L. A.; Masaoka, T.; Wilson, J. A.; Lomonosova, E.; Zhao, H.; Patel, B. S.; Dalta, S. H.; Majidi, S. J.; Pal, R. K.; Gallicchio, E.; Tang, L.; Tavis, J. E.; Le Grice, S. F. J.; Beutler, J. A.; Murelli, R. P. Synthesis and Biological Assessment of 3,7-Dihydroxytropolones. *Org. Biomol. Chem.* **2018**, *16*, 62–69.

- (8) Mousset, C.; Giraud, A.; Provot, O.; Hamze, A.; Bignon, J.; Liu, J.-M.; Thoret, S.; Dubois, J.; Brion, J.-D.; Alami, M. Synthesis and Antitumor Activity of Benzils Related to Combretastatin A-4. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* **2008**, *18*, 3266–3271.

- (9) Bhanthumnavin, W.; Bentrude, W. G. Photo-Arbusov Rearrangements of 1-Arylethyl Phosphites: Stereochemical Studies and the Question of Radical-Pair Intermediates. *J. Org. Chem.* **2001**, *66*, 980–990.

- (10) Hager, D. C.; Bentrude, W. G. Triplet-Sensitized Photo-rearrangements of Six-Membered-Ring 2-Phenylallyl Phosphites. Reaction Efficiency and Stereochemistry at Phosphorus. *J. Org. Chem.* **2000**, *65*, 2786–2791.

- (11) Osman, F. H.; El-Samahy, F. A. The Behavior of 3,3-Diphenylindan-1,2-dione Towards Alkyl Phosphites. *Monatsh. Chem.* **2007**, *138*, 973–978.

- (12) Mahran, M. R.; Abdou, W. M.; Khidre, M. D. Organophosphorus Chemistry. XII. Reaction of Furil with Alkyl Phosphites and Ylide-Phosphoranes. *Monatsh. Chem.* **1990**, *121*, 51–58.

- (13) Ramasamy, E.; Jayaraj, N.; Porel, M.; Ramamurthy, V. Excited State Chemistry of Capsular Assemblies in Aqueous Solution and on Silica Surfaces. *Langmuir* **2012**, *28*, 10–16.

- (14) Ramamurthy, V. Photochemistry within a Water-Soluble Organic Capsule. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2015**, *48*, 2904–2917.

- (15) Natarajan, A.; Kaanumalle, L. S.; Jockusch, S.; Gibb, C. L. D.; Gibb, B. C.; Turro, N. J.; Ramamurthy, V. Controlling Photoreactions with Restricted Spaces and Weak Intermolecular Forces: Exquisite Selectivity during Oxidation of Olefins by Singlet Oxygen. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2007**, *129*, 4132–4133.

- (16) Malval, J.-P.; Dietlin, C.; Allonas, X.; Fouassier, J.-P. Sterically Tuned Photoactivity of an Aromatic α -diketone Family. *J. Photochem. Photobiol., A* **2007**, *192*, 66–73.

- (17) Walalawela, N.; Greer, A. Heterogeneous Photocatalytic Deperoxidation with UV and Visible Light. *J. Phys. Org. Chem.* **2018**, No. e3807.

- (18) Ding, B.; Bentrude, W. G. Trimethyl Phosphite as a Trap for Alkoxy Radicals Formed from the Ring Opening of Oxiranylcarbonyl Radicals. Conversion to Alkenes. Mechanistic Applications to the Study of C–C versus C–O Ring Cleavage. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2003**, *125*, 3248–3259.

- (19) Fasciani, C.; Alejo, C. J. B.; Grenier, M.; Netto-Ferreira, J. C.; Scaiano, J. C. High-Temperature Organic Reactions at Room Temperature using Plasmon Excitation: Decomposition of Dicumyl Peroxide. *Org. Lett.* **2011**, *13*, 204–207.

- (20) Lazar, M.; Matisová-Rychlá, L.; Ďurđovič, V.; Rychlý, J. Chemiluminescence in the Thermal Decomposition of Dicumyl Peroxide. *J. Lumin.* **1974**, *9*, 240–248.

- (21) Abd-Alla, M. A. Novel Synthesis of Poly(benzoin) and Poly(benzil). Characterization and Application as Photosensitizer Materials. *Macromol. Chem. Phys.* **1991**, *192*, 277–283.

- (22) Rowe, P. D.; Thomas, D. K. The Thermal Decomposition of Dicumyl Peroxide in Polyethylene Glycol and Polypropylene Glycol. *J. Appl. Polym. Sci.* **1963**, *7*, 461–468.
- (23) Callaghan, S.; Senge, M. O. The Good, the Bad, and the Ugly – Controlling Singlet Oxygen Through Design of Photosensitizers and Delivery Systems for Photodynamic Therapy. *Photochem. Photobiol. Sci.* **2018**, *17*, 1490–1514.
- (24) Röder, B.; Büchner, M.; Rückmann, I.; Senge, M. O. Correlation of Photophysical Parameters with Macrocyclic Distortion in Porphyrins with Graded Degree of Saddle Distortion. *Photochem. Photobiol. Sci.* **2010**, *9*, 1152–1158.
- (25) Kielmann, M.; Grover, N.; Kalish, W. W.; Senge, M. O. Incremental Introduction of Organocatalytic Activity into Conformationally Engineered Porphyrins. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2019**, 2448–2452.
- (26) Pistner, A. J.; Pupillo, R. C.; Yap, G. P. A.; Lutterman, D. A.; Ma, Y.-Z.; Rosenthal, J. Electrochemical, Spectroscopic, and $^1\text{O}_2$ Sensitization Characteristics of 10,10-Dimethylbiladiene Complexes of Zinc and Copper. *J. Phys. Chem. A* **2014**, *118*, 10639–10648.
- (27) Manini, P.; Bietti, M.; Galeotti, M.; Salamone, M.; Lanzalunga, O.; Cecchini, M. M.; Reale, S.; Crescenzi, O.; Napolitano, A.; De Angelis, F.; Barone, V.; D'Ischia, M. Characterization and Fate of Hydrogen-Bonded Free-Radical Intermediates and Their Coupling Products from the Hydrogen Atom Transfer Agent 1,8-Naphthalenediol. *ACS Omega* **2018**, *3*, 3918–3927.
- (28) Shah, B. K.; Gusev, A.; Rodgers, M. A. J.; Neckers, D. C. Ultrafast Pump-Probe Studies of tert-Butyl Aroylperbenzoates and Triplet Energy Interception by the O–O Bond. *J. Phys. Chem. A* **2004**, *108*, 5926–5931.
- (29) Scaiano, J. C.; Connolly, T. J.; Mohtat, N.; Pliva, C. N. Exploratory Study of the Quenching of Photosensitizers by Initiators of Free Radical “Living” Polymerization. *Can. J. Chem.* **1997**, *75*, 92–97.
- (30) Morlet-Savary, F.; Wieder, F.; Fouassier, J. P. Sensitized Dissociation of Peroxides and Peresters in the Presence of Thiopyrylium Salts. *J. Chem. Soc., Faraday Trans.* **1997**, *93*, 3931–3937.
- (31) Nau, W. M.; Scaiano, J. C. Oxygen Quenching of Excited Aliphatic Ketones and Diketones. *J. Phys. Chem.* **1996**, *100*, 11360–11367.
- (32) Engel, P. S.; Woods, T. L.; Page, M. A. Quenching of Excited Triplet Sensitizers by Organic Peroxides. *J. Phys. Chem.* **1983**, *87*, 10–13.
- (33) Walling, C.; Gibian, M. J. The Photosensitized Decomposition of Peroxides. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1965**, *87*, 3413–3417.
- (34) Mohapatra, P. P.; Chiemezie, C. O.; Kligman, A.; Kim, M. M.; Busch, T. M.; Zhu, T. C.; Greer, A. ^{31}P NMR Evidence for Peroxide Intermediates in Lipid Emulsion Photooxidations: Phosphine Substituent Effects in Trapping. *Photochem. Photobiol.* **2017**, *93*, 1430–1438.
- (35) Jiao, X.-Y.; Bentrude, W. G. A Facile Route to Vinyl- and Arylphosphonates by Vinyl and Aryl Radical Trapping with $(\text{MeO})_3\text{P}$. *J. Org. Chem.* **2003**, *68*, 3303–3306.
- (36) *Gaussian 09, Revision D.01*; Frisch, M. J.; Trucks, G. W.; Schlegel, H. B.; Scuseria, G. E.; Robb, M. A.; Cheeseman, J. R.; Scalmani, G.; Barone, V.; Mennucci, B.; Petersson, G. A.; Nakatsuji, H.; Caricato, M.; Li, X.; Hratchian, H. P.; Izmaylov, A. F.; Bloino, J.; Zheng, G.; Sonnenberg, J. L.; Hada, M.; Ehara, M.; Toyota, K.; Fukuda, R.; Hasegawa, J.; Ishida, M.; Nakajima, T.; Honda, Y.; Kitao, O.; Nakai, H.; Vreven, T.; Montgomery, J. A., Jr.; Peralta, J. E.; Ogliaro, F.; Bearpark, M.; Heyd, J. J.; Brothers, E.; Kudin, K. N.; Staroverov, V. N.; Kobayashi, R.; Normand, J.; Raghavachari, K.; Rendell, A.; Burant, J. C.; Iyengar, S. S.; Tomasi, J.; Cossi, M.; Rega, N.; Millam, M. J.; Klene, M.; Knox, J. E.; Cross, J. B.; Bakken, V.; Adamo, C.; Jaramillo, J.; Gomperts, R.; Stratmann, R. E.; Yazyev, O.; Austin, A. J.; Cammi, R.; Pomelli, C.; Ochterski, J. W.; Martin, R. L.; Morokuma, K.; Zakrzewski, V. G.; Voth, G. A.; Salvador, P.; Dannenberg, J. J.; Dapprich, S.; Daniels, A. D.; Farkas, Ö.; Foresman, J. B.; Ortiz, J. V.; Cioslowski, J.; Fox, D. J. *Gaussian, Inc.: Wallingford CT*, 2009.
- (37) Dennington, R.; Keith, T.; Millam, J. *Gaussview, version 5*; Semichem Inc.: Shawnee Mission KS, 2009.